

**Hon. P.C. Verma, J.**

By means of this petition, the petitioner challenged the order dated 21.3.2001 on the various grounds including the ground of his ill health as well as on the ground of competency for passing the transfer order.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had made representation which is still pending. It was also stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that respondent no. 3 is not competent to transfer the petitioner and only respondent no. 1 is the competent authority. From the perusal of the transfer order it is evident that the opposite party no. 3 has only communicated the order and has not passed the transfer order.

Transfer is an exigency of service and this court does not interfere in the transfer matters until or unless malafide is proved. No allegation of malafide has been levelled in the writ petition.

The petitioner has already made representation and the authorities concern may dispose of the representation of pending within a reasonable time

considering the medical certificates  
issued by the doctors.

With the aforesaid  
observation, the writ petition is  
disposed of.

**(P.C. Verma, J.)**

Dated: 30.3.2001