

Writ Petition No.489 (M/B) /2001  
(Old No.53877 of 1999)

Om Prakash and another ..... Petitioners  
Versus  
State of U.P. and others..... Respondents.

-----

**Hon. A.A. Desai, C.J.**  
**Hon. P.C. Verma, J.**

Heard Sri W.H.Khan, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned standing counsel.

The precise grievance of the petitioner is that the period of lease granted to him was for five years. However, he could not complete the period of lease for a full term of five years and for few months because of the irregularity on the part of the officer, he could not avail of the benefit. The learned counsel for the petitioner, therefore, prayed that the writ of mandamus be issued to grant the extra period.

Undisputedly, as argued by the learned counsel, he operated the lease from July, 1995. Normal period of five years is completed in June, 2000. It is neither expedient nor feasible to issue writ of mandamus to the respondent now to grant extra period of lease to the petitioner. The relief at this stage is totally untenable.

The petition is dismissed accordingly.

Dt. 27.06.2001  
A.

(P.C. Verma, J.) (A.A. Desai, C.J.)