

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND NINE

PRESENT
THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI
and
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

WRIT APPEAL NO : 165 of 2002 & W.P. No. 2782 of 1997

-
WRIT APPEAL NO : 165 of 2002

(Writ Appeal under Clause 15 of the Letters Patent against the Order dated
04/12/2001 in Rev. WPMP No. 10370 of 1999 in WP NO : 2782 OF 1997 on
the file of the High Court.)

Between:

- 1 The Managing Director State Bank of Hyderabad
Head Office Gunfoundry
Hyderabad
- 2 The General Manager Inspection and Finance Department
State Bank of Hyderabad Gunfoundry
Hyderabad
- 3 The Chief Manager Public Provident Fund & Gratuity Department
State Bank of Hyderabad Gunfoundry
Hyderabad
- 4 The Regional Manager, Region-I Region Office, State Bank of Hyderabad
Prakash Nagar, Begumpet, Hyderabad
- 5 The Branch Manager State Bank of Hyderabad, Nalgonda

..... APPELLANTS

AND

- 1 D.Srinivasulu
H.No.2-2-68 Ashok Nagar,
Jamamasjid Nalgodna Dist

- 2 Miss D.Vanaja
H.No.2-2-68 Ashok Nagar,
Jamamasjid Nalgodna Dist

.....RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Appellants:MR.ADDEPALLI SURYANARAYANA

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.M.MADHAVA REDDY

And

Writ Petition No. 2782 of 1997

Between:

- 1 D.Srinivasulu
H.No.2-2-68 Ashok Nagar,
Jamamasjid Nalgodna Dist
- 2 Miss D.Vanaja
H.No.2-2-68 Ashok Nagar,
Jamamasjid Nalgodna Dist

..... PETITIONERS

AND

- 1 The Managing Director State Bank of Hyderabad
Head Office Gunfoundry
Hyderabad .
- 2 The General Manager Inspection and Finance Department
State Bank of Hyderabad Gunfoundry
Hyderabad .
- 3 The Chief Manager Public Provident Fund & Gratuity Department
State Bank of Hyderabad Gunfoundry
Hyderabad .
- 4 The Regional Manager, Region-I Region Office, State Bank of Hyderabad
Prakash Nagar, Begumpet, Hyderabad.
- 5 The Branch Manager, State Bank of Hyderabad, Nalgonda.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an order or direction or writ more particularly in the nature of Mandamus declaring the proceedings No. PPG/PEN/525, dated 13.8.96 issued by the Respondent No.1 as illegal, unconstitutional, null and void and consequently direct the respondents to continue to pay the family pension to the petitioners including the arrears of Family Pension w.e.f. 1.9.87 to till today and pass such other order or orders as the Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the interest of justice.

Counsel for the Petitioners:MR.M.MADHAVA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.K.SRINIVASA MURTHY

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI
and
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE RAMESH RANGANATHAN

-
Writ Appeal No. 165 of 2002

&

WRIT PETITION No. 2782 of 1997

-
Judgment: (per Smt. T. Meena Kumari,J)

-
When the writ appeal has come up for hearing, learned counsel for both the sides have filed a joint memo dated 27.2.2009, duly signed by both the parties before this Court, whereby and whereunder the appellant-bank has paid a sum of Rs. 1,13,005/- to the respondents towards family pension. The respondents have also accepted the said amount towards full and final satisfaction of their claims and state that they do not have any further

grievance against the appellant-bank. In the circumstances, learned counsel for both the parties have requested the Court dismiss the writ petition as well as the writ appeal as withdrawn.

The joint memo is taken on record. While recording the submission of the learned counsel, the writ appeal and the writ petition are accordingly dismissed as withdrawn. No costs.

T. MEENA KUMARI,J

DATE: 27th February, 2009

RAMESH RANGANATHAN,J

pnb

-