

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT HYDERABAD  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TENTH DAY OF JULY  
TWO THOUSAND AND EIGHT

PRESENT  
**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH**  
**WRIT PETITION NO : 8070 of 2000**

Between: -  
Smt.P.Janaki,  
Masabtank, Hyderabad.

-  
And

The Managing Director, A.P.Scheduled Caste  
Cooperative Finance Corporation Ltd., Masabtank,  
Hyderabad and others.

**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE G.CHANDRAIAH**

**WRIT PETITION No : 8070 of 2000**

**ORDER:**

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner seeking to issue a writ, order or direction more in the nature of mandamus declaring the action of the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent in not granting regular pay scales and paying only consolidated amount of Rs.625/- as illegal, arbitrary and violative of principles of natural justice and discriminatory and consequently, direct the respondents to grant regular pay scales to the petitioner in the cadre of Attender.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondents.

The petitioner is being employed as an Attender in the office of the 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent on 1.12.1992. She has been regularly performing the duties as an Attender and has been paid the remuneration of Rs.625/- per month as consolidated pay. Her services have not been regularized though she has been working for about nine years continuously as on the date of filing of the writ petition. She filed the W.P.No.20402 of 1995 seeking for regularization of her services and the said writ petition was disposed of by order

dated 14.8.2001 directing the respondents to consider her case in terms of G.O.Ms.No.212, Finance & Planning (FW.PC.III) Department, dated 22.4.1994, but no action has been taken thereon, the petitioner got issued legal notice dated 1.3.2002 to the respondents and also a representation dated 6.2.2002. In spite of the same, there is no response from the respondents. Hence, the writ petition.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in spite of the legal notice dated 1.3.2002 and a representation dated 6.2.2002 issued to the respondents, the respondents have not moved a little finger about the issue. Therefore, he submits that the petitioner constrained to file this writ petition.

Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and in the interest of justice, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for regularization of her services and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of the receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

---

Date:10-07-2008  
mr**b**

**Justice G. Chandraiah**