THE HON'BLE Ms. JUSTICE G. ROHINI

Civil Revision Petition No. 1387 of 2011

Dated: 21.04.2011

	Petitioners
F	Responden

Civil Revision Petition No. 1387 of 2011

ORDER:

The defendants are the revisions petitioners. The suit is filed for injunction. While

the matter was coming up trial, the plaintiff/respondent herein sought to amend the

plaint stating that pending the suit the defendants had highhandedly trespassed

into the southern part of the plaint schedule property and raised a thatched hut and

therefore it is necessary to add further reliefs to declare that the plaint schedule

property belongs to the plaintiff and to deliver the vacant possession by removing

thatched house on the southern part of the plaint schedule property. The said

application for amendment of plaint was allowed by the Court below and

aggrieved by the said order the present revision petition is filed by the defendants.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and having perused the

material available on record, I do not find any justifiable reason to interfere with the

order under revision.

Having regard to the subsequent events brought to the notice of the Court,

the Court below has rightly allowed the amendment as sought by the plaintiff. It is

true that the defendants denied the allegation of encroachment and also raised an

objection on the ground of limitation. However, these are the issues which require

consideration in the main suit and there is no need for recording a finding while

considering the petition for amendment of pleadings.

Accordingly, the Civil Revision Petition is dismissed. No costs.

G. ROHINI, J

Date: 21 .04.2011

KLP