

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 506 of 1989

with

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 7152 of 1991

with

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 7153 of 1991

with

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 7155 of 1991

with

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 7156 of 1991

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

PARVINCHANDRA RANCHHODBHAI KHETARPAD

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

MR YOGESH S LAKHANI for Petitioner No. 1-7
NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 1
MR JR NANAVATI for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE D.A.MEHTA

Date of decision: 28/09/2001

COMMON ORAL JUDGEMENT

The petitioners in this group of petitions have been working as daily wagers with Respondent No.2 Municipality and it is their say that Respondent No.2 Municipality has been giving them artificial break which is under challenge in this petition. The petitioners have sought a direction to the effect that the respondents shall treat the petitioners as regular permanent employees of Respondent No.2 - Municipality.

2. In view of the subsequent developments and statement made by learned Advocate Shri J.R.Nanavati appearing on behalf of Respondent No.2, it is not necessary to set out the facts in detail and deal with the petitions at length.

3. Mr.Nanavati states that in light of the award made by the Industrial Tribunal, the services of the petitioners have been regularized by Respondent No.2 Municipality as per the directions given in the said award.

4. In light of this statement, these petitions have now become infructuous and are disposed of accordingly. Rule is discharged and ad-interim relief granted is vacated in light of the award made by the Industrial Tribunal. No order as to costs.

(D.A.Mehta, J)

'Bhavesh'