

cf 601-

2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 19/4 of 2001

Sushil Kumar Agrawal
Son of Shri Kanhaiyalal Agrawal
Aged 35 years
Resident of Tikarapara
Bilaspur Distt. Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through its Secretary
Mineral Resources Department
Raipur.
2. The Collector
Janjgir (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue)
-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate
Champa, Janjgir (Chhattisgarh)
4. The Additional Tahsildar
Janjgir Distt. Janjgir (Chhattisgarh)
5. The Assistant Mining Officer
Janjgir Champa (Chhattisgarh)

P. R. No. 2019(10)
Presented by Smt. Chitra
dated 25/9/01

RESPONDENTS


WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227
OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER






As stated above.

2. PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS

As stated above.



RECEIVED COPY
CLERK TO AG. CHATTISGARH
25/9



आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1914/2001
सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><u>30/10/2001</u></p> <p>Shri R.S. Jaiswal for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Deep Kesharwani, PL for the State.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O R D E R</u></p> <p>The petitioner is aggrieved by seizure of Gitti lying at railway track. On 16/8/2001 the said Gitti was seized by the respondents 3 and 4. The case of the writ petitioner is that on receipt of notice, reply was given. However, no further order was passed and in fact there is no response from respondents regarding reply submitted by the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Deep Kesharwani, learned PL for the State submits that if aggrieved, the writ petitioner is entitled to approach the concerned Collector and obtain interim or final relief inasmuch as the Collector is competent to pass necessary orders in this regard. Unfortunately, the order of seizure complained of by the writ petitioner having been made on 16/8/2001, it is now more than two months. It appears that this controversy can be resolved effectively by the Collector only. Therefore, in my view, the petitioner should approach the Collector immediately</p>	

..2..

[पीछे देखिये]

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>.. 2 ..</p> <p>for redressal of his grievance,</p> <p>Let the petitioner submit representation/ petition before the Collector at Janjgir within seven days. On receipt of such representation/petition the Collector shall proceed to decide the grievance of the writ petitioner without delay and at any rate not later than 15 days from the date of receipt of such representation/ petition.</p> <p>With the above observations and directions, this petition is disposed of .</p> <p>Certified copy as per rules.</p>	<p>Sd/- Chief Justice</p>