

(3)

BEFORE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

Writ petition No. 198 2001

PETITIONER

: AMAR SINGH GAIKWAD

S/o Shri Najroo Gaikwad

aged about 52 years,

PANCHAYAT KARMI

Dhobnikala Panchayat

Janpad Panchayat Navagarh

Tahsil Navagarh,

Distt. DURG (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh

Through :- Secretary

Govt. of Chhattisgarh

Panchayat And Village Development

Secretariate

RAIPUR (C.G.)

2. SMT. DURPAD BAI

W/o Shri Bahurdas

Gram Panchayat Dhobnikala

Janpad Panchayat Navagarh

Tah. Navagarh

Distt. DURG (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY.

CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Janpad Panchayat Navagarh

Tah. Navagarh

Distt. DURG (C.G.)

contd...2

(4) JUDAWAN ANANT

Assistant Teacher

village - Gadamor

Tahsil - Navagarh Distt. DURG.

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA.

आदेश पत्रक
W.P.No.198/2001.

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
<u>29.3.2001.</u>	<p>Shri V.G.Tamaskar, learned counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Dr.N.K.Shukla, learned Dy.A.G. for respondent No.1.</p> <p>Shri Dhirendra Mishra, learned counsel for resp.No.2.</p> <p>A perusal of the petition would show that it contains number of typing mistakes and mis-statements of facts. Though, the petitioner is seeking relief against Gram Panchayat, ^{but} has not proposed to ^{make} show the said Gram Panchayat as a party. In para 6, the petition says that by issuing the impugned order-Annexure-P/5, the respondents 2,3 and 5 have acted against statutory provisions. To the surprise, there is no respondent No.5 in the petition.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in place of No.5 figure '4' should have been shown. Even if, figure '4' is substituted in place of figure '5' that would not meet the allegations made in paragraph 5.5 of the petition which says that the respondent No.4 was appointed as village In-charge.</p> <p>I fail to understand how such petition could be filed in the High Court. When a petition is required to be filed in the High Court, the counsel filing the petition would not only be responsible towards the client, but shall also be responsible towards High Court, because Such</p>	

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्बानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Subbu.	<p style="text-align: center;">-2-</p> <p>petitions which are cryptic or contain mis-leading statements or tend to mis-lead the Court, cannot be appreciated.</p> <p>The petition is dismissed.</p> <p>Taking into consideration that the petition is being dismissed on the technical grounds, the petitioner is given liberty to file a duly constituted petition against the proper respondents.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- R.S. Garg Judge</p>

CS 2/2/25