

(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 94 /2001

PETITIONERS :


1. Ashutosh Prajapati, <sup>Age 13 Yrs.</sup> S/o. Late Pravin Prajapati, Minor Through his mother Smt. Hemanti Prajapati, W/o. late Pravin Prajapati.
2. Shailendra Prajapati, S/o. Late Jatan Ram Prajapati, aged about 28 yrs.,  
Both R/o. Manipur, PS. & Teh. Ambikapur, Distt. Sarguja, Chh.

P.R. No. 92/2001  
Presented by Shri. A. K. Prajapati  
dated 17.7.2001

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. Ram Nandan S/o. Nadhiya, aged about 55 years,
2. Pito S/o. Nadhiya, resident of Panchfedi, Ambikapur.
3. Deo Lal, S/o. Bagar Uraon, aged about 35 years,
4. Samay Lal, S/o. Bagar Uraon, aged about 32 years,
5. Kanhaya, S/o. Bagar Uraon, aged about 39 years,
6. Hima Bai, Widow of Kailash, aged about 30 years,
7. Ku. Sumen, D/o. Kailash, aged 6 years, Minor through her mother Hima Bai,  
All R/o. village Manipur, PS. & Teh. Ambikapur, Distt. Sarguja, Chh.
8. The State of M.P. Through Collector, Sarguja, Ambikapur.
9. The Additional Civil Judge Class-I Ambikapur, Distt. Sarguja, Chh., in the capacity of Lok Adalat.



RECEIVED COPY.

CLERK TO THE COURT

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

## आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 94/2001

सामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><u>22/2/2001</u></p> <p>Heard Mr. Sunil Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr. Gautam Bhadhuri, learned PL for the State.</p> <p>While Civil Suit was pending before IIIrd Civil Judge, Class-II, Ambikapur, as the parties were willing to compromise the suit, the matter was brought before the Lok Adalat. After hearing the parties, it was recorded by Lok Adalat that the terms of compromise were read out and the parties were agreeable to the terms. In view of the amicable settlement, thus arrived at, it was further ordered that the suit would stand dismissed.</p> <p>It is pointed out by Mr. Sunil Sinha, learned counsel for the petitioners that once the suit was decided, the Court became functus-officio. However, as decree needs to be drawn it is submitted that direction be issued by this Court that the decree be drawn in terms of the compromise settlement. This is the only prayer made in this petition.</p> <p>In view of the limited prayer, I am of the opinion that I need not grant further time to Mr. Gautam Bhadhuri, learned PL for State for obtaining instructions or to clarify certain interpretation of law as suggested by him.</p>	

आदेश पत्रक (पुर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>.. 2 ..</p> <p>In the result, this petition is disposed of with the direction that the Court aforesaid shall now draw the decree in terms of the settlement incorporated in the order dated 20/12/1997 (Annexure A/4) of the Lok Adalat.</p> <p>Certified copy be given on usual charges.</p>	<p>Sd/- Chief Justice</p>