


(6)


CANCELLED



IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH: BILSPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 42 OF 2001

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA IN THE NATURE OF PUBLIC
INTEREST LITIGATION**



PETITIONER:

Amarnath Agrawal S/o Ram avtar Agrawal
Aged about 30 years, occupation Journalist
R/o Kosabad Road Korba District Korba
(Chhattisgarh)

V/S

RESPONDENTS:

1. The State of Chhattisgarh through
The Chief Secretary, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

43/2001
Presented by Shri. Shail Kish. A. Nigam
dated 9-1-2001

2. The State of Chhattisgarh through
the Secretary Electricity Department
Secretariat, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Chairman,
Chhattisgarh Electricity Board,
Degania, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. The Secretary,
Chhattisgarh Electricity Board,
Daganiya, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

(Petition in the nature of Public Interest Litigation under Article
226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ,
direction and/or order)



XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, ~~भिलाषपुर~~ Chhattisgarh, Bilaspur

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 42/2001

मामला क्रमांक सन् 199

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|--|--|---|
| | <p><u>24/1/2001</u></p> <p>Smt. S. Ahuja, learned counsel for the petitioner. Dr. N.K. Shukla, learned Dy.A.G. for State.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the petition with liberty to reagitate the issue, if occasion arises.</p> <p>The petition is dismissed with liberty xx afore-said but it is made clear that the liberty is granted simply relating to the filing of the petition only and if such a petition is filed, it would be heard by the Court on its own merits. The amount of security deposited by the petitioner be refunded after due verification.</p> <p>Sd/- Chief Justice</p> | <p>Sd/- R.S. Garg Judge</p> |

[पीछे देखिये]