

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT JALPAIGUR


WRIT PETITION NO. 5128.../2000.

PETITIONER :- Nakul Ram Sahu S/o Ganesh Ram Sahu
(In Jail) aged yrs, R/o Village Charbhatan
P.S. Khairagarh, Distt Rajnandgaon (M.P.)
at present in Central Jail Raipur M.P.

- v e r s u s -

- RESPONDENTS :-
1. State of M.P. through
Secretary for Jail Ballabh Bhawan
Bhopal (M.P.)
 2. The Superintendent of Jail
Central Jail Raipur (M.P.)
 3. The District Magistrate
Distt. Raipur (M.).
 4. The District Magistrate
District Rajnandgaon (M.P.)
 5. The Superintendent of Police
S. P. office Rajnandgaon (M.P.)
 6. The Town Inspector
Police Station, Khairagarh
Distt Rajnandgaon (M.P.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA.



आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 5128/2000

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<u>26/6/2001</u> Heard Shri Abhey Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Shri Ranvir Singh, learned G.A. for the respondents/State. Reply has been filed on behalf of the respondents. The petitioner was convicted in a trial under Section 302 IPC for murder of his wife. He was convicted and sentenced to life imprisonment in 1996. In 1998 a request was made for releasing the petitioner on parole for ten days. It was rejected on 23/6/1999. For the second time, a request was again made for releasing the petitioner on parole for ten days on the ground that the petitioner has three minor children at home and in the absence of his wife, it would be necessary for him to make some arrangements for their day to day management. The Superintendent of Police, Rajnandgaon, in his letter dated 25/1/2001 stated that if released, the petitioner might indulge in committing similar offence and there might be fight in between the petitioner and the relatives of his deceased wife. Hence, request was rejected. I have perused the petition carefully. I have also perused the reply filed on behalf of the respondent and also the documents filed along with the pleadings. In the facts	

[पीछे देखिये]

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
raju 07/12/02	... 2 ... and circumstances, in my view no interference is called for. In the result, this petition is dismissed.	Sd/- Chief Justice