

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 260 of 1985

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : YES
to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

MULSHANKAR M SHUKLA

Versus

PRABHASHANKAR N JANI

Appearance:

MR JV DESAI for Petitioner

MR BHUPENDRA SHELAT for Respondent

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT
Date of decision: 28/04/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that quite some time back he was instructed by the son of the original petitioner that the original petitioner has expired about four or five months ago. Learned counsel then states that the said son was informed that the heirs of the deceased petitioner would be required to be brought on record. Learned counsel then states that he

has received no further instruction in the matter and therefore the matter may be dismissed for want of prosecution. Accordingly this revision is dismissed for want of prosecution. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs.
