

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHH.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 45 /2000

PETITIONER

Mahavir Prasad Agrawal son of Shri Jagatram Agrawal aged about 40 years, R/o Patthalgaon Distt.Jashpur Nagar (Chhattisgarh)

VE RSUS

RESPONDENTS

- 1. State of Chhattisgarh
 Through Secretary.
 Forest Department. Mantralaya
 Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
- 2. Conservator of Forest
 Bilaspur Circle, Bilaspur
 (Chh.G.)
- 3. Competent Authority-cum-Sub-Divisional Officer (Forest) Dharamjaigarh Distt. Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

proper terms and conditions.

relied upon the earlier orders

which were passed in his favour

the High Court. One such order

by the department so also by

is annexed to the petition as

Annexure P-2 in which the High

support of his case, has

The petitioner, in

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित

आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित

-2-

कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Court ordered that the vehicle in question shall continue in custody of the petitioner on the original terms and conditions of the <u>Supurdagi</u> during the pendency of confiscation proceedings. The earlier terms and conditions were that the petitioner was required to furnish solvent security to the tune of Rs.4,00,000/-(Rupees Four Lakhs) and furnish a bank guarantee of Rs.1,00,000/-(Rupees One Lakh). It appears that the confiscation proceedings came to an end and thereafter in the month of November, the vehicle in dispute has been seized by the forest department in accordance with the confiscation order.

The grievance of the petitioner appears to be is that since June, 2000, neither his appeal/being heard nor orders on his interim application are being passed, therefore, this Court must intervene and interfere and direct to release of the Truck.

Dr.N.K.Shukla, learned counsel for the State, submits that as the proceedings before the respondent No.3, Competent Authority—cum-Sub-Divisional Officer (Forest), Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh have come to an end, there would be no propriety in releasing the Truck in favour of the petitioner. According to him, if the Truck is to be released in favour of the petitioner instead of solvent security, the bank guarantee must be taken to protect the interest of the State.

After hearing the parties, I am of the opinion

GRPRJ-FS/4-4/99-20.000.

ontd. ...

आदेश पत्रक

Writ Petition No.45/2000 मामला क्रमांक

विरुद्ध

कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार आदेश का आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -3-के अन्तिम आदेश दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित that to save devehicle from deterioration and to save its value and proper upkeep, it is necessary to release the Truck in favour of the petitioner. On petitioner's furnishing an absolute undertaking in favour of the appellate authority that in the x event of the appeal being dismissed, he would return back the Truck without raising any objection, the Truck may be released in his favour. The petitioner shall be obliged to furnish/submit a bank guarantee in sum of Rs.3,00,000/-(Rupees Three Lakhs) and a solvent security for an amount of Rs. 2,00,000/-(Rupees Two K Lakhs) in favour of the respondent No.3, Competent Authority-cum-Sub-Divisional Officer (Forest), Dharamjaigarh, District Raigarh. The petition is allowed. Certified copy on usual charges. Sd/-Acting Chief Justice G.S.

[पीछे देखिये