

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L. NARASIMHA REDDY

Writ Petition No.17606 of 2002

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed assailing the order, dated 01.05.2002, passed by the respondent, rejecting the proposals of the petitioner for regularizing the layout of the land in survey Nos.50, 51 parts, 52, 53 and 54 parts of Kachwanisingaram Village, Ghatkesar Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. The case has a long history. The layout was initially sanctioned by erstwhile Gram Panchayat. The village became part of the area of operation of the erstwhile Hyderabad Urban Development Authority, the respondent herein, which has since been reconstituted as Hyderabad Metropolitan Development Authority. The respondent insisted on payment of Rs.11,00,000/- towards regularization fee. Thereafter, the petitioner approached the Government for change of land use. Even that formality was completed. After prolonged correspondence, the respondent issued the impugned order, taking an objection to the layout of the petitioner, on the ground that the open area, as to the extent stipulated under the relevant provisions, is kept aside.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the respondent, at length, this Court directed the respondent to physically inspect the land once again and find out as to what exactly are the deficiencies *vis-à-vis* the requirement as to open space. It was also pointed out that, in case any deficit is noticed in this regard, remedial steps may also be indicated.

After inspecting the area and examining the matter in detail, the respondent addressed a letter, dated 30.06.2010, requiring the petitioner to deposit a sum of Rs.10,31,326/- towards the shortfall of open area. The petitioner expressed its willingness to comply with the same.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is disposed of, in terms of the letter, dated 30.06.2010, granting three weeks time to the petitioner to

deposit the amount indicated therein. The respondent shall release the final layout within four weeks thereafter, duly indicating any steps that may have to be taken by the petitioner in the meanwhile.

There shall be no order as costs.

L. NARASIMHA REDDY, J.

Dt.06.07.2010.

GJ