

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 211 OF 1995

WITH

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.212 OF 1995

For Approval & Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

- 
1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
  2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?
  3. Whether their lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950, or any order made thereunder ?
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

-----

GUJARAT ELECTRICITY BOARD  
VERSUS  
PATEL AMBAVI BHIMA

-----

Appearance

Mr.SP HASURKAR for petitioner

None present for Respondent

-----

Coram: MR.JUSTICE S.K. Keshote,J

Date of decision:30/12/1999

C.A.V. JUDGMENT

#. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the civil revision applications.

#. The first civil revision application has come up for preliminary hearing in the court on 17th February 1995. On that date, notice was issued to the respondent returnable on 8.3.95 and interim relief in terms of para 6(C) was granted till then. On 17th April 1995, the matter was admitted and interim relief was ordered to be continued till further orders. Para 6(C) of the civil revision application reads as under:

6(C) Pending the admissino, hearing and final disposal of this petition, an interim injunction may kindly be granted staying the operation, implementation and execution of the impugned order dated 20.1.1995 passed by the learned Assistant Judge at Bhuj in Civil Misc. Appeal No.151 of 1994 filed by the petitioner herein.

#. The matter arises from the suit of the year 1994 and interest of justice will be served in case the civil revision application is disposed of in the terms that the learned trial court shall decide the Regular Civil Suit No.25 of 1994 finally within a reasonable time, say within a period of six months from the date of receipt of writ or this order or certified copy thereof whichever is earlier. Rule and civil revision application No.211 of 1995 stand disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

#. The facts of civil revision application No.212 of 1995 are identical to the aforesaid revision application and this also deserves to be disposed of accordingly. The learned trial court is directed to decide the Regular Civil Suit No.38 of 1994 finally within a reasonable time, say within a period of six months from the date of receipt of writ or this order or certified copy thereof whichever is earlier. Rule and civil revision application No.212 of 1995 stand disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

.....

[sunil]