

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

Dated this the 30th day of June, 1998

Before:

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN

Writ Petition No. 26536 of 1994

H.S. Rajani,
d/o Sri H.V. Sreenivasa Murthy,
No.2897/1, Pampapathi Rd.,
Behind Law Court,
Saraswathipuram,
Mysore

409

..Petitioner

(By Sri Ashok Haranahalli, Advocate)

-Vs-

1. The Special Officer,
Common Entrance Test Cell,
Government of Karnataka,
Prasannakumara Block,
Bangalore University,
City Campus, Palace Road,
Bangalore - 560 009;
2. State of Karnataka,
reptd by its Secretary,
Ministry of Education,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore-1

..Respondents

(Respondents served)

--

Writ Petition is filed praying to direct the respondent to properly allot marks in respect of physics, chemistry papers in the common Entrance Test 1994 for medical course in respect of the petitioner (CET Roll No.UJ004) and direct the respondent to re-allot marks to physics and chemistry papers and consequently reassign the ranking of the petitioner for medical course.

This writ petition coming on for preliminary hearing in 'B' Group this day, the Court made the following:-

4/10

O R D E R

The only prayer in this petition is for a direction to the respondent (Common Entrance Test Cell) to properly allot marks in respect of Physics and Chemistry papers in the Common Entrance Test 1994 for medical course in respect of the petitioner and direct the respondents to reallot marks to Physics and Chemistry papers and consequently reassign the ranking of the petitioner for medical course. The petitioner alleges that the marks have not been properly assigned.

2. The petitioner contends that in regard to Physics she had answered 47 questions correctly and she was entitled to 43.250 marks and she has been allotted 35.455 marks. This, according to her, is erroneous. The instructions to the candidates make it clear that for each correct answer one mark will be awarded and for each wrong answer quarter mark will be deducted. The question paper consisted of 65 questions, out of which the candidate has to answer 60 questions. While the petitioner has drawn attention to the number of questions
← *alleged to have been*
/correctly answered by her, she has not said

RMR

411

anything about the wrong answers. In the circumstances, no ground is made out for reallocation of marks. It is unnecessary to examine the matter further in view of the fact that the matter relates to the Common Entrance Test of 1994 and the petitioner wanted the correct ranking for the purpose of her admission. No interim order was sought and no interim order was granted. The admissions for 1994-95 have been completed long back. In the circumstances, this petition has become infructuous and accordingly it is disposed of.

Sd/-
JUDGE

Bnr/-

