## IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 8747 of 1998 WITH

S.C.A. Nos. 8630, 8633 & 8734 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

## Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

\_\_\_\_\_\_

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
- 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

\_\_\_\_\_

VISHOL SEVA SAHAKARI MANDLI LTD

Versus

DISTRICT REGISTRAR

\_\_\_\_\_\_

Appearance:

MR KS JHAVERI for Petitioner

MR DN PATEL AGP for Respondent No. 1, 2

MR PK JANI for Respondent no.3.

-----

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH Date of decision: 16/10/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Leave to amend name of the petitioner-society in S.C.A. no. 8747 of 1998.

Rule. Service of rule waived by Mr. D.N.

Patel, Ld. A.G.P. for the respondents nos. 1 and 2 and

Mr. P.K. Jani, learned advocate for respondent no.3.

2. Heard. Short grievance in these petitions is with regard to petitioners objection requiring consideration at the hands of respondents nos. 2 and 3. It is obvious that voters' list would have to be finalised. But for that purpose objections will have to be considered. In that view of the matter, following direction is issued:-

The voters' list may be finalised after inviting and disposing of the objections in so far as the petitioner is/petitioners are concerned in accordance with law and procedure. No other direction is required to be given.

Subject to this direction, rule is discharged in each of the petitions with liberty to the petitioners to file fresh petitions in case of necessity. D.S. to respondents nos. 2 and 3 is permitted.

\* \* \*

\*\*PVR\*\*j.