

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION No 4759 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE D.G.KARIA

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

HARISHBHAI DHARMSI PATEL

Versus

GOVINDSINH JAGANNATHSINH RAJPUT

Appearance:

MR BN RAVAL for Petitioners

MR JAYESH A DAVE for Respondent No. 1

MR.N.D.GOHIL,ADDL.PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for Respondent No. 2

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE D.G.KARIA

Date of decision: 24/10/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. Mr.N.D.Gohil, learned Additional Public Prosecutor, waives service of rule on behalf of the respondent No.2-State. Mr.Jayesh A.Dave, learned Advocate, waives service of rule on behalf of respondent

No.1.

I have heard the learned Advocates appearing for the parties, and the learned A.P.P., Mr.N.D.Gohil, for the second respondent.

The parties have arrived at amicable settlement outside the Court and the complainant-respondent No.1 does not intend to proceed further in the complaint lodged by him against the petitioners. Even otherwise, having regard to the overall facts and circumstances and material on record, the incident involved is of civil nature.

In the above premises, the petition is allowed. The complaint registered at C.R.No.I 473 of 1996 of Vatva Police Station and the investigation carried thereunder are hereby ordered to be quashed. Rule is accordingly made absolute.
