

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD**

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE NINTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

WRIT PETITION NO : 5232 of 1995

Between:

Gummadaveli Ramulu S/o.Yadagiri R/o.Pillamurthy (V) presently residing at
Suryapet Nalgonda Dist.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Suryapet Mandal

Suryapet Nalgonda Dist

2 The Dist Collector Nalgonda.

3 The Managing Director A.P. Tourism Development Corporation
Hyderabad.

4 The Commissioner of Land Revenue A.P Nampally Hyderabad.

5 A.P.Bhoodan Yagna Board Rep. by its Chairman
Gandhi Bhavan Hyderabad.

6 M/s. Spencers Hotels and Resorts Ltd., rep. by its

Managing Director M/s.Naga Raju Panjagutta Hyderabad.

(R.6 was impleaded as per court order

dt 20/2/97 Wp.No.3801/97)

.... RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus directing the respondents to issue patta in the name of the petitioner herein as per the certificate of Respondent No.5 dt.31-5-1988, 0.20 Guntas village Pillalamarri of S.No.504 to the petitioner and record the same in the revenue records and quash the proceedings of Respondent No.1 dt.28-6-1993 and rejecting the application of petitioner in Memo.dt.22-2-1995 in No.A/875/95 of Respondent No.1 or any other proceedings relating to Survey.No.504,of Pillamarri village, Suryapet Mandal, Nalgonda District.

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr. K.PATTABHIRAMARAO (not present)

Counsel for the Respondent No.1 to 5: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondent No.6: Mr R.Dayanand Reddy

The Court made the following ORAL ORDER:

Initially on 02-09-2004 when the case was called the learned counsel for the petitioner absent and there was no representation on his behalf. Hence, the matter was directed to be posted for dismissal.

Even today, when the case is called the learned counsel for the petitioner absent and there is no representation on his behalf. Hence, the Writ Petition is dismissed for default. No costs.

(T.MEENA KUMARI,J)

9th September, 2004.

Kvrm/Tsy

To

1 The Mandal Revenue Officer, Suryapet Mandal

Suryapet Nalgonda Dist

2 The Dist Collector,

Nalgonda.

3 The Managing Director A.P. Tourism Development Corporation
Hyderabad.

4 The Commissioner of Land Revenue A.P Nampally Hyderabad.

5 The Chairman,

A.P.Bhoodan Yagna Board,

Gandhi Bhavan Hyderabad.

6 Two CCs for the GP for Revenue, High Court

Buildings, Hyderabad (O.U.T.)

7 Two CD Copies.