(Spl.H.C.D.D 28E)

G.P.Bve-(J)-191-18,000-6-89.

G.R.J.D No. 4398 dated 3.7.16.

FIRST APPEAL NOS. 120/94, 123/94, 124/94, 125/94 AND 126/94

Date of decision:17.10.94

For Approval and Signature

Hon'ble Mr.Chief Justice : B.N.Kirpal

Hon'ble Mr. Justice : R.K.Abichandani

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
- 2. To be referred to the Reporter of not?
- 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
- 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
- 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil

Appearance:

Mr. Amit J. Shah, Advocate for the appellants
Mr. Bhaskar P. Tanna and Miss. M.N.Kerrawala, Advocates for the respondents

Coram: B.N.Kirpal, C.J. & R.K.Abichandani,J.

Date :17.10.1994

GGGGGG

ORAL JUDGEMENT (Per B.N.Kirpal, C.J.)

These are appeals filed by the tenants challenging the orders of the Small Causes Court in respect of the rateable value fixed by the Municipal Corporation.

A Division Bench of this Court in First Appeal No.829/83

Insurance Company Limited, decided on 8th September, 1994 has held that appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants challenging the rateable value of at appeals filed by the tenants c at appeals filed by the tenants ch the tenanted premises are not main ainable. In the present case,

Municipal Corporation, Ahmedabad Vs. Oriental Fire and General

therefore, the appeals filed by the tenants are not maintainable.

Following the aforesaid decision in the case of Municipal Corporation Vs. Oriental Fire and General Insurance Company Ltd., these appeals filed by the tenants are dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.
