IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF TRAVANCORE-COCHIN

#### Present:

The Hon'ble Shri G. Kumara Pillai, sudge \* and The Hon'ble Shri M.S. Menon, Judge

## Appeal Suit No. 27 of 1954

O.S. No. 103 of 1124 of the Alleppey District Court

# Appellants--defendants 5 and 8

- Pylo Thomas of Thottukadavil Kampakkaran Veettil, Kadakkarapally Muri, Vaylar Mekku Pakuthy, Shertallai
- Sy advocate Shri V. Subramonian Moothathu and Advocate General

## Respondent - plaintiff

Manick Bava of Vettakkal, Paliyatharayil, residing at Vadakkey Valam Thayil, Uzhuva Muri, Vylar Makku Pakuthy

By advocatesk M/s K.P. Abraham & K.T. Ninan

This appeal suit having been finelly heard on 24.8.1955, the court on the same day delivered the following

### JUDGMENT

This is an appeal against the decree in a redemption suit. Defendants 5 and 8 are the appellants. The only point pressed in appeal related to the value of improvements payable by the plaintiff. Since there is an express provision in the document that value of improvements is payable only on the pattom basis and the document also provides for the ratem of capitalisation we see nothing improper in the award relating to this matter, except in regard to the valuation of the areca-nut trees and the jack trees. The plaintiff agrees in this court to pay the full value m claimed by the appellant in regard to these two items. We therefore enhance the value of improvements which the plaintiff has been directed to pay by Indian Rs. 205. The appeal is allowed to this extent and dismissed in other respects. As the appellant has unnecessarily swellen the appeal valuation, we direct the parties

to give and take proportionate costs in this court calculated on the valuation of the appeal given by the appellant in the appeal memorandum.

24.8,4955

SD. G. KUMARA PIPLAT, JUDGE SD. M.S. MENON, JUDGE

True copy

Conformed by the way

Deputy Registrar For Registrar

Appeal Suit No. 27 of 1954

copy of judgment