IN THE HIGH COURT OF THAVANCORE-COCKIN AT MENAKULAM.

Present:-

The Hon'ble Shri K.T. Koshi, Chief Justice gnd The Hon'ble Shri Joseph Vithayathil, Judge.

A. S. No. 7 of 1952.

C.S.Mc. 236 of 1122 on the File of Alleppey District Court.

appellant: Deremant.

Krishne Kampethi Remedhandra Kammethi of Kakkarezhathu Madon, Vadakkun kuri, Thuravoor Padinjattumkara Vadakkun Muri.

By Advocate Shri S. Egrayanan Potti.

Respondents: Plaintiffs & and J.

- Varena Pai Raya Pai of Pomravelik micetou glias Hardathigamorphi residing at Velexoprambil Kodsprintruthu Muri, Thuravoor Vadaktu Pakuthy.
- 2. Venana Pai Viswanatha Pai of do. do.

Dy Advocate Shri D. Varačaraja Tyengar Ing Respondent L.

This apposed Suit having been finally heard on 25-11-1954, this court on the same day delivered the following:-

<u> 3000 320.</u>

The appeal relates to the costs disallowed to the defendant-mortgage in an action for redemption and the costs he has been easied to pay to the pleintiff-mortgager. The reason given by the lower court for its order as to costs is that the mortgages claimed more amounts by way of redemption price thanks what he is really entitled. That portion of the decree has not been appealed against, but in the angeal from the one macted sult for arrears of rent under the losse-back accompanying the mortgage we have held that the cortgages's claim for his years arrears charged on the equity of redemption was correct and allowed the appeal. In the alreadathness we consider that the most equitable order regarding costs will be to ask bout sides to been their respective costs, both here and in the lower court and we capide accordingly.

The account will stard allowed to this extent. As indicated already we make no order for costs of the appeal.

Order accordingly.

So/- K.T. Wesal, C.J., So/- Joseph Vitheyathl., J.

(True Copy)

Compano by.

Gentalia

14. t. Mapris.

Acst. Caleurar for ballstrar.

* * * - : ...