


HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR

S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.21526/2019

1. Anand Prakash Sharma Son Of Late Shri Shive Prakash Sharma, Aged About 80 Years, Resident Of 1-La-7, Dadabari Extension, Near Food Bank, Modi College, Kota (Seniority No. 114 In The Seniority List Dated 11.12.1992/1993)
2. Smt. Santosh Devi Wife And Nominee Of Retired Ndsi Late Shri Girdhari Lal, Aged About 74 Years, Resident Of Plot No. 641, Ghisi Bai Ki Gali, Rangbari, Kota - 324006 (Rajasthan)(Seniority No. 105 In The Seniority List Dated 11.12.1992/1993)
3. Smt. Rajender Kumari Wife And Nominee Of Retired Ndsi Late Shri Bheru Singh Chandrawat, Aged About 74 Years, Resident Of House No. 332, Harigarah House, Near Afeem Godam, Retwalal Kota (Seniority No. 98 In The Seniority List Dated 11.12.1992/1993)
4. Chandrashwar Sharma Son Of Late Shri Ayodhya Prasad Sharma, Aged About 81 Years, Resident Of Lanka Gate Road, Bundi, Rajasthan (Seniority No. 155 In The Seniority List Dated 11.12.1992/1993)
5. Smt. Chironji Devi Sharma Wife And Nominee Of Retired Ndsi Late Shri Gopal Ram Sharma, Aged About 80 Years, Resident Of Village Paprera, Post Bhatavali, Tehsil Kumher, District Bharatpur (Rajasthan) (Seniority No. 15 In The Seniority List Dated 11.12.1992/1993)

----Petitioners

Versus

1. The State Of Rajasthan, Through Secretary, Department Of Physical Education, School Education, Secretariat, Jaipur
2. The Director, Department Of Secondary School Education, Administrative Building Shiksha Sankool, J.I.n. Marg, Jaipur, Rajasthan
3. The Union Of India, Through Secretary, Ministry Of Youth Affairs And Sports, Department Of Youth Affairs, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.

----Respondents

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. M. K. Dhakad
For Respondent(s) : Mr. B. S. Chabba-AAG

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP KUMAR DHAND

Order

31/08/2024

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that identical controversy has already been raised, considered and adjudicated upon by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Balram Verma Versus The Union of India & Ors.: S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.18106/2012**, vide order dated 18th November, 2017, after hearing the learned counsel for the respondents.
2. It is further contended that for the present; the petitioners would be satisfied, if the State-respondents are directed to decide the representation(s) of the petitioners in the backdrop of the adjudication made in the case of **Balram Verma (supra)**, within a time frame, which they are ready and willing to address within two weeks hereinafter.
3. In view of the limited prayer addressed; the instant writ proceedings are closed with a direction to the petitioners to address comprehensive representation(s) enclosing a copy of the adjudication in the case of **Balram Verma (supra)**.
4. In case, representation(s) is so addressed within the aforesaid period, the State-respondents are directed to consider and decide the same by a reasoned and speaking order as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law. However, in no

case later than twelve weeks from the date of receipt of the representation(s) along with a certified copy of this order.

5. With the observations and directions, as indicated above, the writ applications stand disposed of.

6. Stay application and all pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(ANOOP KUMAR DHAND),J