IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI 2013:JHHC:10212

B.A. No. 6665 of 2013

Santosh Kumar Mahto .... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Jharkhand .... Opposite PartY

Coram : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.N.UPADHYAY

For the petitioner (s): Mr. Nivedita Kundu
For the opposite party : Addl.P.P.

31.8.2013 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the State.

It reveals from written report that on 17.9.2012, the informant was working as a labourer where the petitioner was supervisor. He entered into her room and committed rape on the informant on the point of knife. He had also taken nude photograph of the informant in his mobile phone and later, started black mailing her. He was also giving threat by saying that he is a member of MCC and if the informant will open her mouth, she would be killed. Lastly, on 21.10.2012, the matter was informed by the informant to her husband and thereafter this case has been lodged.

It is submitted that due to monetary dispute, the informant has lodged this case with false allegation after 34 days of the incident. Husband of the informant who was examined in the trial has given a different story contradicting the written report. The informant is a major lady and no such incident has taken place.

Learned counsel for the State has opposed the prayer.

Considering above aspects of the matter, I do not feel inclined to grant bail to the petitioner in connection with Sonahatu (Rahe) PS case No. 66 of 2012 pending in the court of learned AJC, Ranchi. Accordingly, prayer for bail is rejected.

Ambastha/- *(D.N.Upadhyay,J.)*